

Fig. 2a

VPAMTSSDLPLNFQGSPCSQIWQHPRFAAK	30
KRSSMVKFHCYTNHSGALTWFRKRGSQQPQ	60
ELVSEEGRIVQTQNGSVYTLTIQNIQYEDN	90
GIYFCKQKCDSANHNVTDSCGTELLVLGFS	120
TLDQLKRRNTLKD	
133	

Fig. 2b





Fig. 3

A.



B.




Fig. 4




Fig. 5








Fig. 6

A. hIgG.Fc



B. mIg β -hIgG.Fc







Fig. 7

	Blank	hlgG.Fc	2nd ip	3rd ip	4th ip
Mean	52.3%	66.1%	35.9%	43.5%	34.3%
STDEV	6.6%	9.5%	6.9%	8.7%	7.6%
P value		0.004656	7.02E-04	3.37E-02	1.11E-05
(compared with Blank)					
P value			2.28E-04	2.41E-03	3.34E-07
(compared with hlgG.Fc)					

(In this experiment, n=15)




Fig. 8